Great uncial codices

The **great uncial codices** or **four great uncials** are the only remaining <u>uncial codices</u> that contain (or originally contained) the entire text of the <u>Bible (Old and New Testament)</u> in <u>Greek</u>. They are the <u>Codex Vaticanus</u>, <u>Codex Sinaiticus</u>, <u>Codex Alexandrinus</u>, and Codex Ephraemi.

Contents

Description

See also

References

External links



Page from Codex Sinaiticus with text of Matthew 6:4–32

Description

Only four great codices have survived to the present day: <u>Codex Vaticanus</u> (abbreviated: B), <u>Codex Sinaiticus</u> (X), <u>Codex Alexandrinus</u> (A), and <u>Codex Ephraemi Rescriptus</u> (C). Although discovered at different times and places, they share many similarities. They are written in a certain <u>uncial</u> style of <u>calligraphy</u> using only majuscule letters, written in <u>scriptio continua</u> (meaning without regular gaps between words). Though not entirely absent, there are very few divisions between words in these manuscripts. Words do not necessarily end on the same line on which they start. All these <u>manuscripts</u> were made at great expense of material and labour, written on <u>vellum</u> by professional <u>scribes</u>. They seem to have been based on the most accurate texts in their time.



Alexandrinus – Table of κεφάλαια (table of contents) to the Gospel of Mark

All of the great uncials had the leaves arranged in <u>quarto</u> form. [4] The size of the leaves is much larger than in papyrus codices: [5][6]

- B: Codex Vaticanus 27 × 27 cm (10.6 × 10.6 in); c. 325–350
- X: Codex Sinaiticus 38.1 × 34.5 cm (15.0 × 13.6 in); c. 330–360
- A: Codex Alexandrinus 32 × 26 cm (12.6 × 10.4 in); c. 400–440
- C: Codex Ephraemi 33 × 27 cm (13.0 × 10.6 in); c. 450
- D: In the 19th century, the <u>Codex Bezae</u> was also included to the group of the great uncials (F. H. A. Scrivener, Dean Burgon).

Codex Vaticanus uses the oldest system of textual division in the Gospels. Sinaiticus, Alexandrinus, and Ephraemi have the <u>Ammonian Sections</u> with references to the <u>Eusebian Canons</u>. Codex Alexandrinus and Ephraemi Rescriptus use also a division according to the larger sections – $\kappa \epsilon \phi \acute{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \alpha$ (kephalaia, *chapters*).

Alexandrinus is the earliest manuscript which uses κεφάλαια. Vaticanus has a more archaic style of writing than the other manuscripts. There is no ornamentation or any larger initial letters in Vaticanus and Sinaiticus, but there is in Alexandrinus. Vaticanus has no introduction to the <u>Book of Psalms</u>, which became a standard after 325 AD, whereas Sinaiticus and Alexandrinus do. The orders of their books differ. [8]

According to Burgon, the peculiar wording in some passages of the five great uncials ($\times AB C D$) shows that they were the byproduct of heresy–a position strongly contested by Daniel B. Wallace.

Alexandrinus was the first of the greater manuscripts to be made accessible to scholars. [10] Ephraemi Rescriptus, a <u>palimpsest</u>, was deciphered by <u>Tischendorf</u> in 1840–1841 and published by him in 1843–1845. [11] Codex Ephraemi has been the neglected member of the family of great uncials. [12]

Sinaiticus was discovered by Tischendorf in 1844 during his visit to <u>Saint Catherine's Monastery</u> in <u>Sinai</u>. The text of the codex was published in 1862. Vaticanus has been housed at the <u>Vatican Library</u> at least since the 15th century, but it became widely available after a photographic facsimile of the entire manuscript was made and published by <u>Giuseppe Cozza-Luzi</u> in 1889-1890 (in three volumes). [14]

It has been speculated that Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus were part of a project ordered by Emperor Constantine the Great to produce 50 copies of the Bible. [15]

See also

Comparison of codices Sinaiticus and Vaticanus

References

- 1. Edward Ardron Hutton and <u>Francis Crawford Burkitt</u>, *An atlas of textual criticism: being an attempt to show the mutual relationship of the authorities for the text of the New Testament up to about 1000 A.D.*, University Press, 1911.
- 2. "Paleography Greek Writing" (https://web.archive.org/web/20170802044357/https://www.libraryindex.com/encyclopedia/pages/cpxktw8k03/paleography-greek-writing-century.html).

 Archived from the original (http://www.libraryindex.com/encyclopedia/pages/cpxktw8k03/paleography-greek-writing-century.html) on 2017-08-02. Retrieved 2011-08-08.
- 3. B. L. Ullman, Ancient Writing and Its Influence (1932)
- 4. Falconer Madan, Books in Manuscript: a Short Introduction to their Study and Use. With a Chapter on Records (https://archive.org/details/booksinmanuscrip00madaiala), London 1898, p. 73.
- 5. Roberts, C. H.; Skeat, T. C. (1983) [1954]. The Birth of the Codex. Oxford University Press for The British Academy. ISBN 0197260616. For online version see here (http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/courses/735/book/codex-rev1.html) at U Penn website.
- 6. <u>Parker, D. C.</u> (2008). *An Introduction to the New Testament Manuscripts and their Texts.* Cambridge University Press. p. 71. <u>ISBN</u> 1139473107.
- 7. Greg Goswell, *Early Readers of the Gospels: The Kephalaia and Titloi of Codex Alexandrinus* (http://www.jgrchj.net/volume6/JGRChJ6-7_Goswell.pdf), *JGRChJ* 66 (2009), p. 139.
- 8. Barry Setterfield, *The Alexandrian Septuagint History* (http://www.setterfield.org/Septuagint_History.html#codices), March 2010.

- 9. Wallace, Daniel B. (1996). *Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New Testament* (https://books.google.com/books?id=XlqoTVsk2wcC&pg=PA455). Harper Collins. p. 455 (n. 31). ISBN 0310218950. Retrieved 17 March 2022.
- 10. Kenyon, Frederick G. (1939). *Our Bible and the Ancient Manuscripts* (https://archive.org/stream/MN41613ucmf_0#page/n173/mode/2up) (4th ed.). London: British Museum. p. 132.
- 11. C. v. Tischendorf, *Codex Ephraemi Syri rescriptus, sive Fragmenta Novi Testamenti*, Lipsiae 1843–1845.
- 12. Robert W. Lyon, New Testament Studies, V (1958–9), pp. 266–272.
- 13. Constantin von Tischendorf: *Bibliorum codex Sinaiticus Petropolitanus*. Giesecke & Devrient, Leipzig 1862.
- 14. <u>Eberhard Nestle</u> and William Edie, "Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the Greek New Testament", London, Edinburg, Oxford, New York, 1901, p. 60.
- 15. Metzger, Bruce M.; Ehrman, Bart D. (2005). The Text of the New Testament: Its Transmission, Corruption, and Restoration (4th ed.). New York Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 15–16.

External links

- Great uncials (http://legacy.owensboro.kctcs.edu/crunyon/e261c/09-NT-Augustine/NT/TH2-u ncials.htm) at the Owensboro Community and Technical College
- Great Uncial Codices with photographs (https://madainproject.com/great_uncial_codices)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Great_uncial_codices&oldid=1083197510"

This page was last edited on 17 April 2022, at 16:25 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 3.0; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.